



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/526,245	10/21/2005	Yuko Nakamura	TOMI 200007	6733
27885	7590	01/30/2009		
Fay Sharpe LLP 1100 Superior Avenue Seventh Floor Cleveland, OH 44114			EXAMINER SMITH, JENNIFER A	
			ART UNIT 1793	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 01/30/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/526,245

Applicant(s)

NAKAMURA ET AL.

Examiner

JENNIFER A. SMITH

Art Unit

1793

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 November 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-16 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-893)
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/25/2009

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Application

Applicant's election without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I (claims 1-9) in the reply filed on 11/24/2008 is acknowledged. Claims 10-16 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention.

Claims 1-9 are pending and presented for examination.

Claim Objections

Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities: The claim is unclear and does not positively define an invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Iwasaki et al. (US Patent No. 6,270,571 B1).

Iwasaki et al. teaches a catalyst material described in Figures 2A and 2C including a base (10), a film comprising titanium as a major ingredient (11), a substrate (12) and columnar wires grown from the base (15). The material deposited on the Ti-containing base (base metal or base-metal compound) is selected from the group wherein said material other than Ti is selected from the group consisting of Li, Na, K, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba, Sc, Y, La, Cu, Zn, Al, Zr and Mo [See Claim 3]. The titanium oxide nanowires are produced by first preparing a titanium-including surface, depositing a material over the surface, and treating the photocatalyst material to grow nanowires [See Abstract]. The columnar shape of the titanium oxide whiskers is shown in Figure 1B.

In regard to claim 8, the Iwasaki reference teaches all of the limitations of claim 2 but does not explicitly disclose the time required for reducing acetaldehyde gas using the photocatalyst material. The photocatalyst material taught by Iwasaki et al. is equivalent to Applicant's claimed invention, and under the same conditions, a substantially similar value would be expected. The limitations to the claim are limitations on capability. Recitations of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. The manner in which the photocatalyst material is used places no further limitation on the structure of the photocatalytic product itself.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iwasaki et al. (US Patent No. 6,270,571 B1) and further in view of Gao et al. (US Patent Publication No. 2002/0055010 A1).

Iwasaki et al. teaches the photocatalyst material according to claim 5 but fails to explicitly teach a *hollow* columnar structure.

Gao et al. teaches a hollow crystalline titania nanotube grown on a substrate [See Claim 1] via a similar method as disclosed in Applicant's specification.

it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of applicant's invention, to grow a hollow columnar photocatalyst like that disclosed in the Gao reference because when used as an optically active catalysts, an increase in surface area (such as in a hollow arrangement) improves catalytic activity by providing more special contact between the catalyst material (hollow nanowire) and the catalytic reactants. Surface area characteristics play an important role when the nanowires are used in catalytic activities and Gao notes that hollow carbon nanotubes have substantial utility, and it is recognized that optionally filling the hollow core of carbon nanotubes with materials having useful physical, chemical, and electronic properties significantly broadens the range of potential applications for carbon nanotubes [See Paragraph 0007].

Conclusion

Claims 1-9 are rejected.

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JENNIFER A. SMITH whose telephone number is (571)270-3599. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30am to 5:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on (571)272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J.A. LORENZO/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793

Jennifer A. Smith
January 22, 2008
TC 1793

JS